

ID	Name	Organisation	Client	Policy Number	Summary of Representation	Changes to make plan legally compliant or sound	RBC Response
----	------	--------------	--------	---------------	---------------------------	---	--------------

1907	Martin Herbert	Brown & Co	Edward Walpole Brown	Duty to - operate	Contend that RBC have not engaged in an effective manner with neighbouring authorities and even if they have, they have not taken into consideration, in a sustainable way, the needs of Coventry City Council as is illustrated by the obligations accepted by the Local Planning Authorities through the MOU.	Allocate the development scheme detailed in our submission	RBC considers it has fulfilled its duties in engaging neighbouring authorities. Needs of Coventry Council as illustrated in the obligations accepted by the Local Planning Authority follow detailed discussion and consideration and have been necessary in fulfilling Rugby Borough Council's Duty to Co-operate. Site proposed in developer's submission has been considered, however other options determined to be more suitable. Comments noted however no further action considered necessary
1909	Paul Hill	RPS	St Modwen	Duty to - operate	One of the jointly produced documents has been up-dated in August 2016 being the Coventry and Warwickshire Housing Market Area (HMA) and these needs to be reflected within an updated duty to co-operate paper and within the supporting text of the Local Plan. Further to this the upcoming White Paper could also have an impact on housing numbers which may lead to an amendment.	An up-date to the Duty to Cooperate section of the Local Plan is required to reflect the outcome of the August 2016 SHMA.	Duty to co-operate section mainly provides overview of work undertaken and does not go into detail about documents referred to. Not considered necessary to elaborate further in introduction. Considered suitable however to include in DS1, para 4.7 (see MiM ref 54.7).